Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SECTO 10004 190746Z

12
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
---------- 000322
O 190315Z APR 76
FM USDEL SECRETARY IN PALM SPRINGS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USDEL SECRETARY IN PALM SPRINGS SECTO 10004

EXDIS

FOR ROBINSON AND LORD

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)

SUBJ: UNCTAD DRAFT OF 15 APRIL: SECRETARY'S MARGINALIA

1. GENERAL COMMENT: "SHOULD MENTION ALLOCATION OF FUNDS GROWING OUT OF LAW OF THE SEA NEGOTIATIONS. ALSO EACH CONCRETE SECTION SHOULD HAVE BETTER THEORETICAL BEGINNING SO THAT SIGNIFICANCE OF PROPOSALS IS CLEARER."

2. BOTTOM OF PAGE 1: "TECHNOLOGY?"

3. PARAGRAPH 8, IN REFERENCE TO PHRASE "BOTH REAL AND CONTRIBUTED": "MEANING?"

4. PARAGRAPH 12: DELETE PHRASE "TO FAILURE".

5. PARAGRAPH 15: NOTE REPETITION OF VERB "CONTINUE".

6. PARAGRAPH 40, TOP OF PAGE 25: "CAN WE LOOSEN THIS UP A BIT."

7. PARAGRAPH 46: "THIS IS GIVEN TOO LITTLE EMPHASIS. SHOULD STRESS COMMODITY APPROACH MORE FORCEFULLY. MOREOVER, THIS ISN'T TOO CLEAR. IFIS NOW LOST IN GOOD AND ENERGY."

8. PARAGRAPH 48: "THE COMMODITIES PART SHOULD LEAD THIS SECTION."
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SECTO 10004 190746Z

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

9. PARAGRAPH 49: IN REFERENCE TO SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCING FROM IRB: "CAN WE STRESS THIS A SHADE MORE."

10. PARAGRAPH 52, IN REFERENCE TO FIRST TWO SENTENCES: "NOT TOO CLEAR." AND A MORE GENERAL COMMENT: "THE VARIOUS METHODS OF COMMODITY SUPPORT SHOULD BE MORE CLEARLY ARTICULATED, MAYBE BY MAKING SUBHEADINGS, IN ANY CASE BY MAKING THEM MORE EXPLICIT."

11. PARAGRAPH 54: "THE US" VICE "MY COUNTRY".

12. PARAGRAPH 57, WITH REFERENCE WORD "WHATEVER": "TOO STRONG."

13. AFTER PARAGRAPH 59: "CONCLUSION OF THIS SECTION?"

14. PARAGRAPH 60: "EXPAND."

15. PARAGRAPH 61 (TOP OF PAGE 39): BEGIN NEW PARAGRAPH WITH "WE MUST FIND..."

16. PARAGRAPH 62: "THIS, TOO, NEEDS A BETTER THEORETICAL BEGINNING. "

17. PARAGRAPH 65 (TOP OF PAGE 44): "TROUBLE WITH THIS SECTION IS THAT PROBLEM TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED IS NOT CLEAR." AND WITH REFERENCE TO AGREED CODE COVERING SAFEGUARDING ACTIONS: "IS THIS NEW?"

18. PARAGRAPH 67, WITH REFERENCE TO PHRASE "RESOURCE EXPORTS": "JARGON."

19. PARAGRAPH 69: "IF IT IS COMPREHENSIVE, IT DOESN'T GET THROUGH TO ME. CAN'T WE SAY WE HAVE FIVE PROPOSALS, ETC."

20. PARAGRAPH 71, WITH REFERENCE TO LAST SENTENCE: "THIS SOUNDS TOO CONDESCENDING."

21. PARAGRAPH 72: "EXPLAIN AGAIN WHAT IS SIGNIFICANCE. NEED A FEW PARAGRAPHS ON IMPORTANCE OF TECHNOLOGY AND NATURE OF PROBLEM."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

22. PARAGRAPH 73: DELETE "BREATHTAKING". WITH REFERENCE TO PHRASE "SEPARATELY, WE MAY NOT.": "TOO PLATITUDENOUS. OF COURSE WE'LL SUFFER NOTHING FROM SEPARATION."

23. PARAGRAPH 76: WITH REFERENCE TO SECOND SENTENCE: "HOW?" MORE GENERAL COMMENT: "THIS SECTION REQUIRES MUCH (UNDERLINED) MORE PERSUASION."

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

24. PARAGRAPH 77: RE FIRST TICK: "HOW?"

25. PARAGRAPH 78: "CALLING PREPARATORY GROUP THIS SUMMER...EARLY IN 1977... WHEN?"

26. PARAGRAPH 83, TOP OF PAGE 53: "CAN WE MAKE THIS MORE CONCRETE AND INDICATE OUR ROLE IN IT."

27. PARAGRAPH 86, RE LAST TWO SENTENCES: "CAN WE BE MORE SPECIFIC, OR CAN WE AT LEAST INDICATE WHAT WE WANT FROM THEM."

28. PARAGRAPH 89, RE LAST SENTENCE: "HOW?"

29. PARAGRAPH 96, RE FIRST TICK: "TARGET OF WHAT?" AND RE SEEKING AUTHORIZATION FROM CONGRESS TO "DO THIS": "EXACTLY WHAT?"

30. PARAGRAPH 97, AT END OF PARAGRAPH: "NEEDS ANOTHER SENTENCE OR TWO."

31. PARAGRAPH 99: "CAN WE DRAMATIZE THIS A LITTLE MORE."

32. PARAGRAPH 102, TOP OF PAGE 70: "THIS SECTION IS A TOTAL MISHMASH. SHOULD BE (1) PROBLEMS, (2) SOLUTIONS...RELATIONSHIP TO EACH
OTHER." AND RE "30 PERCENT" IN FOURTH TICK: "HOW IS THAT POSSIBLE? OR ARE WE GIVING 120 PERCENT?"

33. PARAGRAPH 103, RE SECOND TICK: "THIS THEORY I DOUBT, BUT WON'T PRESS HERE." AND RE LAST PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 72, IN REFERENCE TO RESPONSIBILITIES OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: "MUCH TOO WEAK."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

34. PARAGRAPH 104, RE PHRASE "THE MEANS OF DEVELOPMENT": "LOUSY PHRASE."

35. AT END OF SPEECH: "CONCLUSION NEEDS MUCH MORE ELEVATION. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SECTO, AGREEMENT DRAFT, SPEECHES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 APR 1976
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976SECTO10004
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760147-0606
From: SECRETARY PALM SPRINGS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760447/aaaabnsi.tel
Line Count: 159
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ShawDG
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 JUL 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 JUL 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <04 NOV 2004 by ShawDG>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: UNCTAD DRAFT OF 15 APRIL: SECRETARY'S MARGINALIA
TAGS: OVIP, UNCTAD, (KISSINGER, HENRY A)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006